

# SUMMARY OF CABINET/CABINET MEMBER DECISIONS

**WEEK COMMENCING 26 JUNE 2017** 

CALL IN FOR THESE DECISIONS ENDS 9.00 A.M. ON FRIDAY 7 JULY 2017

**30 JUNE 2017** 

# **Public Business**

- O Denotes items that have been referred to Audit and Procurement Committee.
- # Denotes items that are to be referred to Council. Accordingly Call-in does not apply.
- ♦ Denotes a matter where the associated report has already been considered by the Scrutiny Co-ordination Committee or a Scrutiny Board. Where this body has endorsed the recommendations or made recommendations that have been accepted by the Cabinet/Cabinet Member Call-in does not apply.
- Denotes other items that have been referred to, or considered by, the Scrutiny Co- ordination Committee or a specific Scrutiny Board.
- Split recommendations. Please see note at foot of item for details of the recommendations that are not subject to call-in.

Note: The Limitations on Call-in are set out at the end of this sheet.

## Cabinet Member for City Services – Monday 26 June 2017

# Report 4 Petition – Request to reduce the speed limit on the 40mph Section of Westwood Heath Road to 30mph

#### Recommendations:

Cabinet Member for City Services is recommended to:

- 1. Note the petitioners concerns.
- 2. Endorse that the actions confirmed by determination letter to the petition spokesperson (as detailed in paragraph 1.5 of the report).

The above Recommendations were approved.

### Report 5 Petition – Carriageway Resurfacing Adjacent to the Caludon Park Apartment Block

**Note:** The above item was withdrawn from consideration at the meeting and will be submitted to a future meeting.

# Report 6 e-Petition – Request for a Traffic Management Solution in Longfellow Road

#### **Recommendations:**

Cabinet Member for City Services is recommended to:

- 1. Note the petitioners concerns.
- 2. Endorse that Longfellow Road does not meet the criteria for consideration for inclusion in the Local Safety Scheme programme.
- 3. Endorse that Longfellow Road be monitored as part of the annual collision review.

The above Recommendations were approved along with the following additional Recommendation:

 Longfellow Road be used as one of the first pilots to trial the new mobile vehicle activated speed warning signs in the autumn term.

### Report 7 Objections to Proposed Waiting Restrictions

#### Recommendations:

Cabinet Member for City Services is recommended to:

- 1. Consider the objections to the proposed waiting restrictions.
- 2. Subject to recommendation 1, approve the implementation of the restrictions as advertised on Alderman's Green Road (access road to school), Chesterton Road / Sadler Road junction, Denbigh Road / Forfield Rd / Courtland Ave / Evenlode Crescent junction, Elmsdale Avenue / Sandown Avenue, Holbrook Lane, Knights Templar Way Area, Lythalls Lane / Compton Road junction, Lythalls Lane / Lancaster Gardens junction, Nutbrook Avenue, Prior Deram Walk, Seymour Close, Sherbourne Street / Wellington Gardens junction, Windsor Street / Wellington Gardens junction, Stennels Close.
- 3. Subject to recommendation 1, approve the implementation of a reduced scheme on St James Lane / Yarningale Road, reducing the proposed extent of double yellow lines on the western side of Yarningale Road (outside No. 2) by 2 metres.

- 4. Subject to recommendation 1, approve that the proposal to install double yellow lines at the junction of Hardy Road with Chesterton Road is advertised as part of the next waiting restriction review.
- 5. Subject to recommendation 1, approve that the proposal to extend the existing limited waiting restriction on Holbrook Lane to partly outside no. 32 is advertised as part of the next waiting restriction review.
- 6. Subject to recommendation 1, approve that the proposed restrictions on Poppleton Road and Upper York Street are not made, the situation is reviewed and any new proposals are advertised as part of the next waiting restriction review.
- 7. Subject to recommendations 1 to 6, approve that the proposed Traffic Regulation Order is made operational.

The above Recommendations were approved along with the following additional recommendation:

8. Approve the implementation of a reduced scheme on Antrim Close and Durham Crescent, reducing the proposed extent of the double yellow lines by not installing the double yellow lines on the north eastern side of the junction of Durham Crescent / Antrim Close.

# Report 8 Petitions Determined by Letter and Petitions Deferred Pending Further Investigation

#### **Recommendations:**

Cabinet Member for City Services is recommended to:

 Cabinet Member for City Services is recommended to endorse the actions being taken by officers as set out in Section 2 and Appendix A of the report in response to the petitions received.

The above Recommendation was approved.

# **Report 9** Outstanding Issues

### **Recommendations:**

Cabinet Member for City Services is recommended to:

1. The Cabinet Member for City Services is requested to consider the list of outstanding issues and to ask the Member of the Strategic Management Board or appropriate officer to explain the current position on those which should have been discharged at this meeting or an earlier meeting.

The above Recommendation was approved.

#### **Limitations on Call-in**

A call-in will normally be regarded as appropriate **UNLESS**:-

- It falls within paragraph 18 of the Scrutiny rules (Part 3E of the Constitution) –
  ie. it relates to:-
  - (i) a matter which is to be determined by the Council.
  - (ii) a decision of the Cabinet/Cabinet Member taken as a matter of urgency and the Chair of the Scrutiny Co-ordination Committee (or his/her nominee) had been invited to attend the meeting where the urgent decision had been taken or the Scrutiny Co-ordination Committee has previously agreed the need for urgency.
  - (iii) a decision made by an employee exercising delegated authority.
  - (iv) decisions of the Licensing and Regulatory Committee.
  - (v) decisions of the Planning Committee.
  - (vi) decisions of the Appeals and Appointments Panels.
  - (vii) decisions of the Audit and Procurement Committee.
  - (viii) a matter where the associated report has already been considered by the Scrutiny Co-ordination Committee or a Scrutiny Board who have endorsed the recommendations or made recommendations that have been accepted by the Cabinet/Cabinet Member.
- 2. The call-in form is not completed correctly.
- 3. The call-in form is received after the specified time.
- 4. The reason for the call-in is unclear or does not relate directly to the decision specified on the call-in form.
- 5. The reason for the call-in is a question, the answer to which can be found in the report relating to the decision which is being called in.



Place Directorate
Council House
Earl Street
COVENTRY CV1 5RR